App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1926 (V. P)

File No. 1-26

Serial Nos. 9217

Reserve

20/11/26

Later References

V P 68-27-2

V.P 46-27 Sl 1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

P-14/B

Part B for Aug 27.

SUBJECT

Proposal for the exclusion of some villages in Malavalli Taluk from the operation of the village Panchayet Regulation

17 To, The Revenue Commissioner
No. L 1207/d 29.8.27

States that the introduction of the V.P. System can not be pushed through in the villages with scattered houses but issues subsidiary instructions regarding Constituting them into hamlets

Ns 24.1.28

4284

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. 111—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

V.P File No. 1 of 1926

Serial No. 9

From

The Revenue Commissioner.

No C 3811 & 1-2-26

Reports that, for the reasons stated by him, small villages in the Malavad may be excluded from the operation of the V.P. Regulation.

1 The improvement of the Malavad area in the State is an important problem that Govt have undertaken to solve. The introduction of village panchayat system in these tracts also is a measure which goes a long way to effect the ~~desired~~, ~~if not, possible~~ improvements in the area. As an alternative to his proposals he has not suggested any remedy to enforce village Sanitation Rules in these tracts.

2 The Revenue Commr's proposal is opposed to the cardinal principle on which the V.P. Regulation is based viz that every village or group of villages shall be converted into village panchayats and controlled under the Regulation.

3 The reasons put forth by the Rev. Commr does not seem to be tenable

For orders

BJS 4/4/26

4 The memorandum submitted on behalf of the Dewan was

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

47842

General and Revenue Secretariat

VP File No. 1 of 1926

Serial No.

sometime back submitted to the Dewan; & this may perhaps been cancel. Another office from the Dr. Kasur to like effect is before the Under Secy. The 1st member has advised giving Short reasons not to change the V. P. Regln now existing & submit the file to the Dewan

RS
8/4/27

5 This may be considered with the file ~~dated~~ desired to be placed before Council

MW 9/4

b dr Secy

YB MW 10/4

CB

MW 11/4

CB 11/4/27

PM

REPLY

(*To be written on this margin.*)

GENERAL & REVENUE DEPARTMENTS
4 47892 4 APR 1927
LOCAL SELF GOVT. SECTION

Special menu no. 192

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

13

NOTE.

Dated 2nd April *192*7.

The question of not applying the Village Panchayet Regulation and Rules to malnad villages consisting of a single house, or only a few houses scattered over a large area, may be considered and instructions issued to Deputy Commissioners that it is not necessary to constitute Panchayets for such villages. In the malnad, unless there are, say, at least 25 houses grouped together, there seems no need for the formation of any Panchayet.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
5 APR. 1927
Reg. No 7936.

M2

DEWAN.

The General Secretary to Government,
with compliments.

10277
4/4

For perusal
First Member,
2/4
2/4

There is a considerable amount of opposition to this Scheme; the question referred to above was discussed before introducing the Bill, & it was decided not to make any Exceptions because if any Exception is made, that would lead to many Exceptions. The Inamdars for instance will claim Exemption for all small villages.

4

Malnad people are included in the non-Malnad
& their interest has advantage for them also.
I also suggest that the question may be
considered again at the June meeting
with Dy Comrs. (Mr. Rosanagar's opinion will be useful,
before finally deciding)

Lee

5/4/27

10 Abolition of Paiva contributions issues -
Eg. Mohatarfa, & Sanitation. Govt Mohatarfa
is abolished; & there is no other Law for Sanitation.
The remedy is probably to convert such
places to hamlets.

Lee

Dewan

RG
5/4
MW
5/4

I have seen a representation
from the villages represented, I understand
the problem arises in the Mal. Mun
Malnad
(Malnad) districts & the question
has been borne in upon me that it
is perfectly hopeless to convert many
villages to Panchayats where no
village really exists. By no stretch of
imagination can we honestly
honour by calling a village any Mal. Mun.
by regarding as such & the Reg. Mal. Act
by applying thereto the simple canons.
work in such areas. Mal. is the
opinion of the Mysore Mal. Officers
principles Vi Canons. Mal. Mun &
actualities prevail
& the subject matter Mal. Mun
in Council

5.5.27

Many villages stand in an
entirely different category.
They are villages, & not
Centres of half-a-dozen
houses really one half a
square mile.

b

4784

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

10347
6 7/4

. 1 For previous all papers the file is sent to the Ch. Secretariat Circulating Joint members RR 7/4

Lee 7/4/47

RR 7/4

Ch. Secy with Compts

Rw 7/4

C. B. per 9/4

(L 4784 is also linked.)

Cs.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on, this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

4 6

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 379
of 1926-27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT

(clause II of the schedule)

Question of exempting certain Malnad Villages from the operation of the Village Panchayet Regulation and Rules.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

9th April 1927.

Chief Secretary to Government.

0483 11/4

First Member of Council. 12/4

Second Member of Council. (on leave)

1869 12/4

Third Member of Council. 12/4

D2

4 [3379]

Subject

Question of exempting certain malnad villages from the operation of the Village Panchayet Regulation and Rules.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

Note

17 In Malnad ~~are~~ villages containing scattered houses, the formation of Panchayets need not be pushed through unless the local people ~~concerned~~ ~~ask for~~ are keen on the constitution of Panchayets in their villages.

Nil
22/4
U.S.(S.S.)
23/4/17.

Nil
23/4
Pr dft-Sr+An.
Nil
23/4

Nil
23/4
Nil Mat A+An
Nil
23/4

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. VP File No. 1 of 1926

Serial No.

Subs. work a draft fo.

Para 2 of the draft fo. is added subject to approval as some arrangements will be necessary to regulate Sanitation in Villages to which the Village Panchayet Regn ~~does~~ is not introduced as the Village Sanitation Regn has been repealed under Sec^n 2 of the U.P. Regn in consequence of the order of ~~Feb 26~~ the 5th October 26 the addition of this para appears to be necessary.

Half

It is not clear whether the Regn repealed by another ~~Repeation~~ enactment can be revived in particular areas by an executive order on the ground that the repealing Regn was introduced into such areas by any similar executive order. The Protection Branch may perhaps be consulted on the point.

6 The Council Resolution is not specific as to the number of houses which a Village should contain before it can be excused from the operation of the Village Panchayet Regn. The W.C. Kadur however has suggested that the number be fixed at 25. A draft letter clearing

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

47892

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

This point is also subd for Consideration.

Affairs

Sd 27/4 46 88/4.

17 I have added to the draft as to exclude villages having less than 25 houses from the operation of the Rules

18) It may be appd

Mw 2/5

11232 Mw 5

Pony up please.

Sd 3/5

First Member)

Forwarding from Member - Draft revised. Mr S. Rau says draft wanted is all right

Mw 5/5

A fair draft as suggested appears suitable

HS 4/5/27

19 Draft according to the personal instructions of the Member is put up

Mw 9/5

Chfec

M. St. Quon today A/c

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Spoke to Chetty they wanted Member 1875

Mw 8/5

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—7-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

VP File No 47894/1 of 1926

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
28. MAY 1927
Reg. No 9167

Q Dbt revised according to Member's personal instructions today put up

mw 18/5

Ch Secy

1898/19/5

(1) A slight change of wording is suggested for consideration in the slip attached to the draft

mw 19/5

Joint Member)

mw 19/5

Rean 6/5/27

(2) Resubmitted with two alternative drafts

mw 23/5

Joint Member)

mw 23/5

12080/25/5

23/ I agree to draft A.

26/5

Dewan)

mw 27/5 mw 27/5

(3) Let us move Mr Ghosh against whom all the witnesses are making statements.

Mr 2 30.5.27

12417/31/5

(4) For perusal

mw 31/5 mw 31/5

Joint Member)

1/6/27

mw 1/6 mw 2/6

Rev. Branch for their note & return

2/6

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

2/6(27) mw 2/6

General and Revenue Secretaria

File No. 1 of 1926

Serial No.

24

Subd Kind reference is invited to the proceedings of DCs' meeting held last June where the subject was discussed & it has been decided that some organisation is necessary to look after Sanitation in malad areas and that Village Panchayets or hamlets may be established for such areas.

Flag M

2) It is for orders whether draft A may now issue — vide paras 23 & 24 of the Notes above

Sd 22/8

Sd 24/8

1663/2578

Chitney

Sd 25/8

Asst Member)

I agree to draft A issuing (Sd Ilbert) Sd 25/8

R.C was [illegible] Simon)

Sd 26/8

Issue Sd 26/8

17 L 1207 & 29.8.27 Madras Govt Sd 26/8 states that the introduction of the VP System cannot be pushed through in those Villages with scattered houses but issues subsidiary instructions re Constituting them into "hamlets."

Sd 2/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—21-5-27

No. C3811/26-7

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore 28th August *1926.*

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GENERAL & REVENUE 4784 2 APR. 1927 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Subject:- Village Panchayets - not necessary in small malnad villages.

V. P 1-26-9

SIR,

I have the honour to state that under Chapter I Clause 1 (2) of the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, the Regulation is made to extend to the whole of Mysore and in the Schedule of taxes leviable under section 36 of the said Regulation (published with notification No. 4347/L.B.26-26-126 dated 6th January 1927) vacant sites and hittals exceeding 20 guntas in extent in malnad villages have also been mentioned. Thus the Regulation is made applicable to the whole of Mysore, including malnad, without any exception. It seems to me that small villages in the malnad may be excluded from the operation of the Regulation for the reason that they are often scattered and not well populated and that their inhabitants are not generally sufficiently educated to appreciate the Regulation and its benefits. There is considerable difficulty from the administrative point of view also as it may not be possible for the Government Officers to come into contact with these villages as often as necessary and watch their progress under the scheme in all seasons. If the Government agree with me, I request that needful action may be taken to amend the Regulation suitably, ~~which in their opinion, may be~~

P.T.O.

(A)

No.26/207/V-8-1-26 1927

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

9/29-8-27

Draft for issue

Sd
26/8/27

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C.3811/26-27, dated the 1st April 1927 suggesting that ~~[illegible]~~ villages in the Malnad containing a few scattered houses may be excluded from the operation of the Village Panchayet Regulation, I am directed to state that Govt. agree that the introduction of the scheme cannot be rapidly pushed through in the villages referred to. But endeavours ~~to introduce the scheme~~ may be made to constitute such villages as hamlets, wherever possible, so that they may have the advantage of the sanitary provisions contained in the Regulation

~~Of~~ and to split up such two more extensive villages into two or more hamlets

I have, etc.,

Chief ~~General~~ Secretary to Govt.

MRN.